

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,192		01/20/2004	Jeffery Errington	2004_0091	7702
513	7590	05/08/2006		EXAMINER	
		IND & PONACK, L	HUTSON, RICHARD G		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN	GTON, D	C 20006-1021	1652		
				DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/759,192	ERRINGTON ET AL:				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard G. Hutson	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 11-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/759,192

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's cancellation of claims 1-10 and the addition of new claims 11-16, in the paper of 1/20/2004, is acknowledged. Claims 11-16 are at issue and are present for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper."

Applicants filing of information disclosure statement filed 1/20/2004, is acknowledged. Those references considered have been initialed.

Claim Objections

Claim 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 11, drawn to a method of killing or inhibiting the growth of a bacteria, recites a)-d) as modifications of the chromosome of the provided Bacillus strain. Part d) recites "a second reporter...thereof in the prespore," followed by "and inducing said Bacillus strain to divide...". It appears that the above second recitation, "and inducing said Bacillus strain to divide..." is not a modification of the Bacillus chromosome and should not be included with part d).

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11-16 are indefinite in that they are unclear in that they do not comprise a step in which a person following the method determines that the compound in question inhibits SpoOJ, such as following step d) in claim 11.

Claims 14 is indefinite in that they are confusing in that they are drawn to the method of claim 11 and the agent of claim 18, respectively, in which the expression of the first and/or second reporter gene(s) are/is observed by monitoring the levels of their expression products. It is unclear what other means of "observing expression of the first and/or second reporter gene" there are, that do not involve monitoring the levels of their expression products. Thus it is unclear how claim 14 further limits claim 11, from which it depends, and it is thus a duplicate of claim 11.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1652

Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 11-16 are directed to all possible methods of killing or inhibiting the growth of bacteria using any agent determined by the method of claim 11.. The specification, however, merely provides a method of determining whether an agent inhibits SpoOJ function but does not provide any description of said agent to be used by the claimed methods. There is no disclosure of any structure including any physical and/or chemical properties of any agent to be used by the claimed methods. There is no particular structure to function/activity relationship of even a single species of agents that are used in the claimed methods or any information beyond the functional characteristic that the claimed agent inhibits SpoOJ function in *Bacillus* species. Given this lack of additional representative species as encompassed by the claims, Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant is referred to the revised guidelines concerning compliance with the written description requirement of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, published in the Official Gazette and also available at www.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 1652

Remarks

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G. Hutson whose telephone number is 571-272-0930. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

rgh 5/3/2006